

Ming Shan Digital Experience: Immersive technology for traditional Taoist meditation

Submission ID number artpl_129

Nicolas Henchoz (Director), École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, EPFL+ECAL Lab, CH-1020, Switzerland, nicolas.henchoz@epfl.ch

Margaux Charvolin (Designer), École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, EPFL+ECAL Lab, CH-1020, Switzerland, charvolinmargaux@gmail.com

Delphine Ribes (Engineer), École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, EPFL+ECAL Lab, CH-1020, Switzerland, delphine.ribes@epfl.ch

Lara Défayes (Designer), École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, EPFL+ECAL Lab, CH-1020, Switzerland, lara.defayes@epfl.ch

Cédric Duchêne (Engineer), École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, EPFL+ECAL Lab, CH-1020, Switzerland, cedric.duchene@epfl.ch

Emily Groves (Designer), École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, EPFL+ECAL Lab, CH-1020, Switzerland, emily.groves@epfl.ch

Andreas Sonderegger (Psychologist), Bern University of Applied Sciences, Brückenstrasse 73, CH-3005, Switzerland, andreas.sonderegger@bfh.ch

<1> Abstract

The *Ming Shan Digital Experience* is an immersive installation designed to support meditation in the context of a new Taoist center. Its creation confronted current academic literature on digital technology for meditation with the practical and cultural requirements of Taoist practice. Quantitative and qualitative learnings show the effectiveness of multimodal biofeedback on individual and collective meditative experience. Now instated in the Taoist center, the installation opens new perspectives for combining digital technology with ancient practice.



Figure 1. The meditation decks at Ming Shan Temple (© EPFL+ECAL Lab. Photo: Daniela & Tonatiuh.)

<1> Context

Ming Shan is a new Taoist center in Switzerland housing meditation rooms, residencies, a temple and a restaurant. Supported by public funding, the center established a partnership with EPFL+ECAL Lab to explore how digital technologies could support meditation practices.

Taoism is one of the three main systems of Chinese philosophy alongside Confucianism and Buddhism. Reference to Taoist meditation appeared around 500 BC with Lao Tzeu and now comprises a range of practices. Taoist meditation is considered to be an internal process that induces the flow of energy along paths of the body through two phases; concentration and relaxation, followed by internal observation. Though it employs various body positions and techniques, meditation is guided by the mind until it becomes natural for experienced practitioners [25].

<1> Current state of research

Breath is central to meditation. Techniques such as deep and embryonic breathing that reduce heart rate are widely used in mind-body practices for relaxation and stress management [5]. Applications like *Calm* and *IBreathe* use visual, audio and haptic cues to guide deep breathing and mindfulness.

Biofeedback systems assist users in self-regulation by providing physiological information. The mindfulness installation *Sonic Cradle* transforms users' breathing rate into a soundscape, with qualitative results showing that feedback induces breathing harmony and a reduction in thought, but with a risk of over-relaxation [18]. Studies of the virtual reality game *DEEP*, showed that heart rate variability feedback increased user relaxation and that the perception of self-efficacy reduced anxiety [19]. A study on the

artwork *Cardiomorphologies* shows that rich information feedback helps participants link their thoughts and physiological state in real time [12].

Sound, traditionally from shamanic drums, is a known support for meditation [3]. Some digital meditation tools, such as *Somadome*, make use of binaural beats as they can impact relaxation and anxiety [4]. Other approaches use natural sounds such as waves, wind or bird song, which can be modulated in response to body signals [8]. Compared with visual guidance, auditory guidance can induce a calmer state by reducing cognitive load, although it is less effective in decreasing breathing [22].

Light color and modulation can also affect body and mind. Colored light impacts breathing, heart rate, and stress response [14]. Warm-toned light can increase alertness, taking the center of the attention, whereas cool-toned light has the opposite effect and relates more to peripheral attention [23]. A study with *MoodLight* [16] however, notes some individual and cultural differences. Soft pulsing movements can help maintain users' attention whilst also allowing them to relax [14].

Multimodal feedback systems can induce a faster response to a stimulus than single indicators [13]. Users of *Soma Mat* and *Breathing Light*, which combine light and heat indicators, reported an increased awareness of body changes and breathing [17]. The installation *Breathe with the Ocean* evaluated audio, haptic and light biofeedback. Participants showed increased pleasure when combining feedback modes, although it was sometimes considered distracting. The most positive experiences were reported when multimodal feedback was modulated according to heartrate [20]. Further research suggests limiting feedback to two modalities to reduce risk of distraction and increasing users' understanding of their influence on the feedback loop [15].

“Calm Technology” refers to systems that enter in and out of the periphery of the user's attention [21]. In the *DeLight* study, users reported increased physical and mental relaxation with ambient light compared to explicit visual biofeedback [23] while in *RESonance*, peripheral light was rated as easy to perceive [24]. However, sudden changes in light can induce confusion and nervousness and so qualities such as subtlety and security are important [7].

Taoist temples, seen as a connector between traditional and contemporary culture [10], provide a relevant context for linking digital installations with intangible cultural heritage. Other work in Taoist temples has taken a pedagogical approach to digitally preserving intangible heritage [11] although wider debate promotes immersive installations to encourage affective experience and comprehension [6,9].

<1> Design process



Figure 2. Field observations in China (© EPFL+ECAL Lab)

Our objective was to unite existing knowledge on digital meditation tools with the practice and culture of Taoism in an immersive digital installation. We therefore began our process in China, in the foothills of the holy Mao Shan mountain, to observe the traditional Taoist context. We practiced meditation and interviewed Taoist masters, disciples and practitioners, making three key observations.

1. Taoism considers objects, practices, tradition and philosophy as a whole.
2. A series of behaviors are performed to facilitate leaving the meditative state, these can be considered as a third or exist phase of meditation.
3. Taoists perform individual, but also group meditation, describing a positive impact of energy sharing.

Our first prototypes explored different feedback modalities with users. We observed that self-adaptation through biofeedback aided relaxation, reducing effort and disturbance. We also noticed a preference for light versus haptic feedback, and for natural over binaural sound. Some user suggestions reflected results from the *DeLight* project [23] with the desire to distinguish different phases of the session and to combine light and sound. Finally, we decided on light as the main individual feedback modality, making use of its capacity to alternate between the periphery and center of attention, as with Calm Technology. Sound was targeted towards group feedback and set only at the periphery of the attention to avoid overload.

After a detailed comparison of physiological sensors for generating biofeedback evaluating impact, reliability and comfort, we selected the following:

- Galvanic Skin Response (GSR) or skin conductivity, to indicate modification of arousal and concentration during meditation [1]. The signal is obtained with two electrodes placed on two consecutive fingers.
- Heart Rate Variability (HRV) to indicate pattern variation between meditation and resting and which has been used in meditation installations [23]. The signal is detected on a fingertip using photoplethysmogram (PPG).
- Breathing rate, the only autonomic nervous system element that can be consciously controlled and can trigger a relaxation response [5]. This is recorded with a breathing belt.

Although integrated in initial trials, we discarded electroencephalography as it was vulnerable to interference and the placement of receptors was too distracting to meditation. We also built an algorithm to take breathing rate through spectral breath purity.

To exist within a real Taoist temple, the final design had to combine practical and cultural requirements. We had to ensure freedom of practitioners' position and movement whilst maintaining the reliability of sensors and technical elements. The design also had to respect traditional Taoist philosophy and aesthetics such as by ensuring a perception of vertical openness to connect earth and sky. Similarly, although our initial light feedback proposition was based on current literature, this was modified to reflect the colors of the Taoist "Dan Tian" energy points of the body.



Figure 3. Development of the deck and sensors (© EPFL+ECAL Lab)

<1> Final design

The installation consists of three meditation decks in which practitioners sit and take part in a collective mediation session. The three decks match the number of immortal statues in the temple.

The round meditation deck has a low wooden platform and cushion for practitioners to sit on in a traditional cross-legged position. The upper light ring opens to allow practitioners to enter and leave. We designed a new breathing belt made of natural banana fiber by integrating a pressure sensor into a traditional Taoist garment. Practitioners also wear three rings and a fabric band which conceal skin conductance and heart rate sensors. These minimal and non-invasive sensors are connected to computers which control the individual and collective feedback.



Figure 4. The meditation deck with light ring, bespoke breathing belt and finger sensors (© EPFL+ECAL Lab. Photo: Daniela & Tonatiuh.)

The experience is based on a guided meditation session of 40 minutes for experienced practitioners and 30 minutes for novices. After a spoken introduction, practitioners receive light biofeedback in three phases. First, the practitioner relaxes and concentrates on their body. Feedback on their breathing is given by the breathing belt and the light ring is modulated based on galvanic skin response (GSR) to reduce arousal. During the middle phase, participants focus on inner observation supported by peripheral feedback on spectral breath purity. Finally, the installation facilitates leaving meditation by inducing sensations to recover consciousness of the body using breathing feedback modulated with GSR.

During the three phases of the session, biofeedback from all three practitioners extends into the room via a real-time visualization projection created with TouchDesigner. The animation is inspired by the notion of Qi energy that circulates in and between beings and traditionally takes the form of smoke or breath as well as the Taoist focus on harmony between human and nature. On leaving the deck, meditators can see a summary of their session. Then the visualization returns to the smoke-like patterns, leaving a trace of the meditation in the temple. Collective meditation is supported by sounds inspired by traditional music generated by group biofeedback; using Ableton software, low frequencies are modulated by breathing rate and high frequencies by GSR.




Figure 5. Projected visualization of group feedback on the temple wall (© EPFL+ECAL Lab)

<1> Evaluation and measurement

We designed an evaluation campaign to assess feedback preferences, impact on meditative state, collective meditation and overall user experience of the installation.

We established four conditions of the installation to test feedback modalities: with/without light and with/without sound. Each participant experienced all four conditions in group sessions of three participants. Quantitative data including pleasantness, meditation experience and body sensations was measured through questionnaires. Qualitative information was obtained through a group interview after each session.



Figure 6. Evaluations in our laboratory (© EPFL+ECAL Lab)

17 participants (aged 20 – 55, 59% female) completed the study. Recruitment didn't specify cultural meditation background. Participants didn't know each other and groups of three were assigned randomly. Eight participants stated that they used tools such as guided meditation or applications and four reported using meditation visualization techniques, with no significant correlation to age or frequency of practice.

Participants reported the sensors to be comfortable, showing no alteration of the meditation experience. Analysis with type of meditation practice (visualizations or no visualizations) revealed higher pleasantness ratings for the light condition ($M = 5.98$, $SE = .20$) compared to the condition without light ($M = 5.22$, $SE = .32$), $F(1, 13) = 4.78$; $p < .05$, $\eta^2_p = .27$. A marginally significant effect of light on profoundness of meditation was observed, $F(1, 13) = 4.62$; $p = .051$, $\eta^2_p = .26$, with participants in the light condition reporting deeper meditation ($M = 5.38$, $SE = .29$) compared to participants in the condition without light ($M = 4.24$, $SE = .39$).

Participants' attention to body sensations was positively influenced by light ($F(1, 14) = 4.29$; $p = .057$, $\eta^2_p = .24$) and sound ($F(1, 14) = 4.45$; $p = .053$, $\eta^2_p = .24$), both with marginally significant main effects. Light also has an effect on maintaining focus, with a difference mostly reported by participants familiar with tools. Regarding feeling connected to others during group meditation, sound was more positively perceived than visual feedback although not statistically relevant ($F(1, 16) = 1.79$; $p > .05$, $\eta^2_p = .10$). Chart 1 shows how the session combining sound and light was the favored condition.

Data from group interviews were coded into categories by two psychologists, followed by a methodology of comparison and resolution. We give key outcomes with occurrences in brackets although numbers shouldn't be compared statistically, as they are taken from open interviews.

Lights were stated as supporting and enveloping. Blue was expressed as either pleasant or relaxing (8), and green was reported to help concentrate on the body (5). Although some participants were positive about color changes “the red at the end helps me come back”, others (8) were distracted by them. Many considered the light to be too strong (14) and transitions too abrupt. Several participants (11) said they didn’t explicitly perceive the colors although others (6) appreciated the link with breathing to help them concentrate (5).

Sound was found to be useful (10), enjoyable (9) and to facilitate meditation (8) “I felt very deep in the lower part of my body, and it was the deep sounds that carried me there, which was unusual for me”. Some users didn’t perceive the connection of sound with the body (7), but few mentioned it as disturbing (4). Silent sessions were considered more difficult and less enjoyable.

No negative comments were made about sharing biofeedback via the visualizations on the wall. However, the summary visualization generated some competitive thoughts (4): “I had a lot of unwanted thoughts because of the final projection, because I imagined what it would look like and it made me feel competitive”.

Discussion on the overall experience showed that it was enjoyed (17) and provided strong sensations (14) with comments mentioning expansion and ecstasy: “I had an outer body experience and it was very difficult to come back at the end”. The four sessions induce a learning curve effect (8). Sense of community was also mentioned, indicating the impact of collective feedback (6). Several people not using tools or visualization techniques reported that they didn’t experience deep meditation and were distracted from their meditation (5).

<1> Balancing technology and tradition

The creation of this installation explored the relationship between technology, design and ancestral culture in the context of a working Taoist center.

Design of the meditation deck and sensors linked technical and cultural requirements. Our modifications of the upper structure from a dome to a ring to culturally preserve the vertical connection between earth and sky was positively perceived. However, the compromise between literature and culture for the light colors caused some perception issues; strong warm colors during the first phase and abrupt transitions were often considered distracting which is consistent with previous results [24][23].

Nonetheless, for informed practitioners, light feedback facilitated meditation by increasing positive emotions, reducing invasive thoughts, supporting deep meditation and aiding concentration. Moreover, the light and sound condition was the most preferred scenario. Matching our hypothesis to design group feedback for peripheral attention, there were also indications that sound aided a feeling of collective meditation.

Our results showed a variation in perception depending on participants’ personal meditation culture although physiological differences like eyelid thickness on color discernment [2], could also explain this variation. This suggests that immersive multimodal biofeedback should be adjusted to its specific context and practitioners. The benefit of a learning effect reported over the four sessions opens perspectives on long-term impact of digital meditation supports.

The installation was adapted according to the results, and in November 2020, it opened for meditation sessions in the Ming Shan Temple. Applying the learnings in other contexts, and increasing the number of meditation decks is under consideration, as the final design has a production cost estimated under \$2000 depending on batch size.

<1> Conclusion

Ming Shan Digital Experience shows how academic knowledge, a contemporary design approach, digital technology and tradition can be combined to support the millennia-old practice of meditation. Evaluations of the installation show its ability to facilitate individual meditative practice and its potential to generate a collective feeling. Now installed in the Ming Shan Temple, testing will continue with Taoist practitioners to better understand the effects of learning, time and individual differences. The project can be seen as more than a meditation support, opening new perspectives for mixing digital and ancestral culture.

<1> Acknowledgements

We'd like to thank our project partners, Ming Shan Taoist Center, Canton de Vaud, Université de Fribourg, CSEM (Signal Processing & Control Systems Group), ASPG (EPFL) for their invaluable contribution.

<1> References

- [1] Himani Anand. 2014. Effect of meditation (“OM”chanting) on alpha EEG and galvanic skin response: Measurement of an altered state of consciousness. *Indian J. Posit. Psychol.* 5, 3 (2014), 255.
- [2] Andrew Bierman, Mariana G. Figueiro, and Mark S. Rea. 2011. Measuring and predicting eyelid spectral transmittance. *J. Biomed. Opt.* 16, 6 (June 2011), 067011. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1117/1.3593151>
- [3] Pierre Flor-Henry, Yakov Shapiro, and Corine Sombrun. 2017. Brain changes during a shamanic trance: Altered modes of consciousness, hemispheric laterality, and systemic psychobiology. *Cogent Psychol.* 4, 1 (2017), 1313522.
- [4] Xiang Gao, Hongbao Cao, Dong Ming, Hongzhi Qi, Xuemin Wang, Xiaolu Wang, Runge Chen, and Peng Zhou. 2014. Analysis of EEG activity in response to binaural beats with different frequencies. *Int. J. Psychophysiol.* 94, 3 (December 2014), 399–406. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpsycho.2014.10.010>
- [5] Christopher Gilbert. 2003. Clinical applications of breathing regulation: Beyond anxiety management. *Behav. Modif.* 27, 5 (2003), 692–709.
- [6] Nicolas Henchoz and Allison Crank. 2018. Digital Heritage: Bringing New Life to the Montreux Jazz Festival’s Audio-Visual Archives with Immersive Installations. *Leonardo* 51, 4 (August 2018), 375–380. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1162/leon_a_01646
- [7] Kristina Höök, Martin P Jonsson, Anna Ståhl, and Johanna Mercurio. 2016. Somaesthetic appreciation design. 3131–3142.
- [8] Hiroshi Ishii, Craig Wisneski, Scott Brave, Andrew Dahley, Matt Gorbet, Brygg Ullmer, and Paul Yarin. 1998. ambientROOM: integrating ambient media with architectural space. 173–174.
- [9] Sarah Kenderdine and Jeffrey Shaw. 2017. Archives in Motion. *Mus. Arch. Move Chang. Cult. Inst. Digit. Era Ed. Oliver Grau Wendy Coones Viola Rühse* (2017), 211–233.
- [10] Zhiqiang Liu and Zhengyang Li. 2018. The Study on the Design of Taoist Cultural & Creative Products Based on Wuhan Intangible Cultural Heritage Resources. Atlantis Press, 83–86. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.2991/icesem-18.2018.19>
- [11] Osten Bang Ping Mah, Yingwei Yan, Jonathan Song Yi Tan, Yi-Xuan Tan, Geralyn Qi Ying Tay, Da Jian Chiam, Yi-Chen Wang, Kenneth Dean, and Chen-Chieh Feng. 2019. Generating a virtual tour for the preservation of the (in)tangible cultural heritage of Tampines Chinese Temple in Singapore. *J. Cult. Herit.* 39, (September 2019), 202–211. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.culher.2019.04.004>
- [12] Lizzie Muller, Greg Turner, George Khut, and Ernest Edmonds. 2006. Creating affective visualisations for a physiologically interactive artwork. *IEEE*, 651–657.

- [13] Sara L. Riggs, Christopher D. Wickens, Nadine Sarter, Lisa C. Thomas, Mark I. Nikolic, and Angelia Sebok. 2017. Multimodal Information Presentation in Support of NextGen Operations. *Int. J. Aerosp. Psychol.* 27, 1–2 (April 2017), 29–43. DOI:<https://doi.org/10.1080/10508414.2017.1365608>
- [14] Mary J Ross, Paul Guthrie, and Justin-Claude Dumont. 2013. The impact of modulated color light on the autonomic nervous system. *Adv Mind-Body Med* 27, 4 (2013), 7–16.
- [15] Nadine B Sarter. 2006. Multimodal information presentation: Design guidance and research challenges. *Int. J. Ind. Ergon.* 36, 5 (2006), 439–445.
- [16] Jaime Snyder, Mark Matthews, Jacqueline Chien, Pamara F Chang, Emily Sun, Saeed Abdullah, and Geri Gay. 2015. Moodlight: Exploring personal and social implications of ambient display of biosensor data. 143–153.
- [17] Anna Ståhl, Martin Jonsson, Johanna Mercurio, Anna Karlsson, Kristina Höök, and Eva-Carin Banka Johnson. 2016. The soma mat and breathing light. 305–308.
- [18] Jay Vidyarthi and Bernhard E Riecke. 2013. Mediated meditation: cultivating mindfulness with sonic cradle. In *CHI'13 Extended Abstracts on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. 2305–2314.
- [19] Joanneke Weerdmeester, Marieke van Rooij, Owen Harris, Niki Smit, Rutger CME Engels, and Isabela Granic. 2017. Exploring the role of self-efficacy in biofeedback video games. 453–461.
- [20] Esko O Dijk Alina Weffers. 2010. Breathe with the Ocean: a System for Relaxation using Audio, Haptic and Visual Stimuli. *EuroHaptics 2010* (2010), 47.
- [21] M Weiser and JS Brown. 1995. Designing Calm Technology, Xerox PARC. *PowerGrid J.* 1, (1995).
- [22] Kanit Wongsuphasawat, Alex Gamburg, and Neema Moraveji. 2012. You can't force calm: designing and evaluating respiratory regulating interfaces for calming technology. 69–70.
- [23] Bin Yu, Jun Hu, Mathias Funk, and Loe Feijs. 2018. DeLight: biofeedback through ambient light for stress intervention and relaxation assistance. *Pers. Ubiquitous Comput.* 22, 4 (2018), 787–805.
- [24] Bin Yu, Jun Hu, Mathias Funk, Rong-Hao Liang, Mengru Xue, and Loe Feijs. 2018. RESonance: Lightweight, room-scale audio-visual biofeedback for immersive relaxation training. *IEEE Access* 6, (2018), 38336–38347.
- [25] *La méditation taoïste - Philippe Gouédard - Librairie Eyrolles.*

Bibliographical information: The EPFL+ECAL Lab is a design research center in Switzerland. Its mission is to foster innovation between technology, design and architecture.




Chart 1. User preference for session conditions (© EPFL+ECAL Lab)