



Year: 2020

Therapeutic alliance, social inclusion and infection control - Towards pandemic-adapted mental health care services in Switzerland

Richter, Dirk ; Bonsack, Charles ; Burr, Christian ; Gekle, Walter ; Hepp, Urs ; Kawohl, Wolfram ; Schneeberger, Andres R ; Theodoridou, Anastasia ; Traber, Rafael ; Jäger, Matthias

Abstract: The Covid-19 pandemic has challenged the Swiss mental health care system. Many services were downsized or closed and admission to treatment and care institutions was restricted during lockdown. While these measures were necessary according to the general containment and mitigation strategies of federal and cantonal authorities, this situation has had negative consequences for care and treatment of service users. This paper asks to re-think key aspects of the Swiss mental health care system that have demonstrated to be not adaptable to the pandemic. In particular, the paper suggests diversifying care and treatment settings and strengthening outpatient and outreach services. Finally, some proposals to foster social inclusion during and after the pandemic are outlined

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.31234/osf.io/bs3ej>

Posted at the Zurich Open Repository and Archive, University of Zurich

ZORA URL: <https://doi.org/10.5167/uzh-191209>

Journal Article

Accepted Version



The following work is licensed under a Creative Commons: Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0) License.

Originally published at:

Richter, Dirk; Bonsack, Charles; Burr, Christian; Gekle, Walter; Hepp, Urs; Kawohl, Wolfram; Schneeberger, Andres R; Theodoridou, Anastasia; Traber, Rafael; Jäger, Matthias (2020). Therapeutic alliance, social inclusion and infection control - Towards pandemic-adapted mental health care services in Switzerland. Swiss Archives of Neurology, Psychiatry and Psychotherapy:Epub ahead of print.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.31234/osf.io/bs3ej>

Therapeutic alliance, social inclusion and infection control - Towards pandemic-adapted mental health care services in Switzerland

Revised version 15-10-2020

Dirk Richter

Bern University Hospital for Mental Health, Center for Psychiatric Rehabilitation
University of Bern, University Hospital for Psychiatry and Psychotherapy
Bern University of Applied Sciences, Department of Health Professions

Charles Bonsack

Lausanne University Hospital, Department of Psychiatry,
University of Lausanne, Faculty of Biology and Medicine

Christian Burr

University of Bern, University Hospital for Psychiatry and Psychotherapy
Bern University Hospital for Mental Health, Center for Psychiatric Rehabilitation

Walter Gekle

Bern University Hospital for Mental Health, Center for Psychiatric Rehabilitation

Urs Hepp

Integrated Psychiatric Services Winterthur – Zurcher Unterland

Wolfram Kawohl

Psychiatric Services of Argovia, Brugg
University of Zurich, Department of Psychiatry, Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics

Andres R. Schneeberger

Psychiatric Services of Grisons, Chur
University of Zurich, Department of Psychiatry, Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics
Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Albert Einstein College of Medicine, Bronx, NY, United States

Anastasia Theodoridou

University of Zurich, Department of Psychiatry, Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics

Rafael Traber

Canton of Ticino, Psychosocial Services, Viganello

Matthias Jäger

Psychiatry Basel-Landschaft, Liestal
University of Zurich, Department of Psychiatry, Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics

Address for Correspondence:

Dirk Richter, PhD
Bern University for Mental Health
Center for Psychiatric Rehabilitation
Murtenstrasse 46
CH-3008 Bern
Tel. +41 31 632 4707
E-Mail: dirk.richter@upd.unibe.ch

Abstract

The Covid-19 pandemic has challenged the Swiss mental health care system. Many services were downsized or closed and admission to treatment and care institutions was restricted during lockdown. While these measures were necessary according to the general containment and mitigation strategies of federal and cantonal authorities, this situation has had negative consequences for care and treatment of service users. This paper asks to re-think key aspects of the Swiss mental health care system that have demonstrated to be not adaptable to the pandemic. In particular, the paper suggests diversifying care and treatment settings and strengthening outpatient and outreach services. Finally, some proposals to foster social inclusion during and after the pandemic are outlined.

The Covid-19-pandemic has heavily impacted the Swiss health care system in spring 2020, with the peak of cases and deaths occurring in early April 2020 [1]. In general, the country's health care system – although being caught by surprise – has coped well with the sharp increase of infections. Apart from some local shortages of beds, staff and equipment, unmanageable situations were rare.

The Swiss mental health care system was likewise taken by surprise and challenged by the immediate need to cope with infections while trying to maintain treatment and care for people with mental illness. Hence, the mental health care system was initially not prepared for the impact of the pandemic and alterations regarding service provision were handled very differently across regions. In some catchment areas, mental health care delivery was maintained with its complete range of services and few adjustments due to hygienic and physical distancing measures. Other regions were affected dramatically as the systems changed due to shutdowns of services and closures of institutions and facilities (e.g. day hospitals, day centres, sheltered occupational rehabilitation programs, ambulatory clinics, outreach services).

At the same time, many hospital settings have changed their admission policies and have assigned wards to treat patients in quarantine or isolation with staff using appropriate personal protection equipment (e.g. masks, gowns, gloves). Telemedicine communication via smartphone, video conferencing or landline have been established in many outpatient and outreach services to compensate for apparent shortcomings. Psychiatric care home residents were often not allowed to leave the premises as it was assumed by public health authorities that physical distance and hygienic measures could not be upheld outside the care home. However, most decisions for keeping services open or closed were not based on scientific advice but rather on administrative regulations.

Altogether, due to the shutdown of services and the massive restrictions in institutional settings, it was in many cases impossible to maintain the therapeutic alliance, evidently one of critical success factors in psychiatric treatment [2]. Additionally, the already high degree of social exclusion for people with mental illness in Switzerland [3] became more evident as authorities cancelled social events and face-to-face contacts in social networks were drastically reduced. At the same time, home visits by outreach professionals were cut in many (though not all) regions, albeit them being the only personal contact for many service users [4]. The shutdown also hampered professional contacts to family members and other carers which may have induced feelings of neglect by mental health services.

After having experienced the initial peak of infections in spring 2020, the country is now (October 2020) again confronted with a rising rate of infections. For society in general, and for the health care system in particular, the entire 'post-peak' period will become a huge challenge. While the period up to the peak of the pandemic aimed at containing and mitigating the spread of the virus, the post-peak phase is characterized by less restrictions, increasing social interactions and the risk of rising infections [5]. The main societal, political and public health challenge is to keep the effective virus reproduction number below 1 in order to avoid the health care system becoming overwhelmed and at the same time being able to re-introduce certain liberties that will help to re-boost the economy.

Given the non-availability of an effective treatment or a vaccine for the general population and the uncertainty about the length of immunity, this post-peak phase might last for several months, if not years as only physical restrictions are able to mitigate the virus spread under such circumstances [6]. As the results of early simulation research has shown, such restrictions were supposed to be necessary for the upcoming two to four years [7]. Leading Swiss epidemiologists now expect the pandemic to last at least until the end of 2021 [8]. To further aggravate the situation, the demand for psychiatric and psychological treatment is expected to grow due to its socioeconomic repercussions, as evidenced by earlier epidemics, pandemics and other shock events [9, 10]. Clinicians and scientists

expect long-term consequences for people who suffer from social isolation, financial hardship and possible unemployment, leading to an increase in demand for treatment of survivors, grieving family members, health care workers and the general population in case of further increasing infection rates and related Covid-19-illness spikes. The increase in unemployment alone is likely to lead to several thousand additional suicides globally, according to recent estimates based on scenarios published by the United Nations' International Labour Organization in March 2020 [11]. By now the total number of job losses worldwide has shown to be much larger than in the underlying worst-case scenario. This may also account for the number of expected suicides. However, the further development depends on both the infection rates and the welfare state response. Until autumn 2020, the economic fallout of the pandemic has mainly been absorbed by measures such as the furlough scheme. Therefore, the welfare state response is increasingly seen as the second line of pandemic response that has public health consequences, too [12].

What are foreseeable consequences for Swiss mental health services? And – more importantly – how should the country's mental health services be adjusted in order to be prepared for the long-term post-peak phase of the pandemic with a need to keep up infection control while avoiding the loss of therapeutic alliance and fostering social inclusion of service users?

1. Consequences for Swiss mental health care services

Mental health care services will remain part of the general public health response to the pandemic in their respective catchment areas. This means, in particular, to ensure ongoing psychiatric treatment and care while avoiding service closures during possible future lockdowns. Thus, all services in mental health care as well as in social care for people with mental disorders are required to develop and implement procedures that allow the provision of person-centred care which may be adapted to the circumstances, e.g. by utilizing telemedicine applications (see below). All providers of mental health care, particularly large institutions, should consider how to reorganize their structures in order to be prepared to maintain general psychiatric services without substantial reduction of their capacities. A further challenge is to provide treatment and care to those patients who avoid institutional settings as has happened in many countries during the peak phase [13].

Future peaks of the pandemic are to be expected and a – not unlikely – rise of the reproduction number to 1.5 will likely bring the Swiss health care system to the brink of collapse if it is related to a surge in Covid-19 illness [14]. Therefore, all services should consider to develop and implement contingency plans that cover situations such as large-scale infection rates both in service users and in staff. Providers ideally will prepare operating procedures that ensure that service users and staff will be tested, quarantined or isolated according to the national and cantonal standards. Furthermore, regional treatment mandates can make it necessary to assign specific areas in inpatient settings in order to provide the infrastructure such as small wards for infection control and psychiatric treatment of infected individuals. Moreover, inpatient as well as day care and outpatient settings should be able to provide treatment and care while complying with hygienic measures and physical distancing.

While the demand for mental health care in recent years was rising particularly in outpatient services [15], it is to be expected – as mentioned – that this trend will continue in the post-peak phase. Consequently, services will probably need to scale up their capacities and offer flexible treatment services. It has to be anticipated that the increasing demand cannot entirely be met by current capacities. This is especially true for outpatient and outreach services, as they will be the backbone of future mental health services. It is also questionable whether the expansion of services can be achieved with the currently available staff. It is very likely that mental health care facilities will need

to recruit and train new staff to serve the changing needs. This will also entail a chance to develop new professional roles, e.g. Advanced Nurse Practitioners [16] in outpatient mental health care (mental health nurses with a Master's degree) who may serve as first responders and gatekeepers in order to refer service users to the appropriate treatment.

2. General outline of pandemic-adapted mental health services in Switzerland

While mental health care services try to deliver person-centred care, this needs to be adapted during a pandemic. Italian experience from the current pandemic suggests that a community-centred orientation is needed in the entire health care system [17]. Acute care hospitals and mental hospitals run a high risk of becoming infection clusters [18, 19], similar to what became apparent during the current crisis in nursing homes. Therefore, treatment and care settings should to be much more diversified than before the pandemic.

Inpatient treatment and residential care should be used much less during an epidemic and must be adequately organized to secure minimized risk of transmission of infections. According to the Italian Society for Psychiatric Epidemiology which published guidance on this matter during the pandemic peak phase, entrance to inpatient care should be restricted to emergency admissions only [20]. While this is certainly to be recommended during a pandemic peak phase, we also recommend reducing inpatient admissions in general. The primary target group of inpatient treatment should be patients with any kind of urgent or emergency cases, be they voluntary or compulsory. This may also include patients who are unable to adhere to public health restrictions due to an acute exacerbation of their mental disorder. Furthermore, inpatient treatment should also be available to voluntary patients who need a safe environment due the current social circumstances and to patients who need special treatment settings such as inpatient psychotherapy.

However, inpatient psychiatric settings must be available for individuals with acute and severe mental health problems and crises of any kind and must not be used for any other purposes related to prevention of infections that are not related to mental health. We have experienced that the Swiss law on children and adult protection as well as the law on epidemics are not always utilized according to their purposes. The recent extraordinary public health situation held the risk of using psychiatry as a mere institution to provide restrictive settings to control nonadherent behaviour, also if it was not directly derived from mental disorders. This impulse should be resisted by all health care providers in order not to increase stigma on psychiatry and psychiatric patients or fall back to allocating psychiatry the role of mere behaviour control.

Likewise, care home admissions should only be utilized in cases that seem absolutely necessary. As already mentioned, during the peak phase residents were commonly not allowed to leave the premises. As new spikes and lockdown measures are possible, this situation is unsustainable for longer periods of time. This adds to the risk of becoming infection clusters and, therefore, care home utilization should be minimized as far as possible and be replaced by outreach services.

Shifting to outreach services during the post-peak phase may be the key measure to re-establishing person-centred care and therapeutic alliance that are difficult to maintain under the rule of infection control in inpatient settings. Furthermore, outreach services may foster the infection-related trust in mental health services as many service users have avoided coming into institutional care. Home treatment has been established and evaluated in several places across the country prior to the pandemic [21, 22]. Studies have shown that many patients who are commonly treated in inpatient settings are able to be cared for at home. Additionally, a sub-sample of service users prefer not to be treated in inpatient settings but rather at their own place [23]. Therefore, we recommend expanding outreach treatment services to all cantons and catchment areas. We are aware that this is not in

line with recommendations from other professional organizations (e.g. German Psychiatric Association DGPPN [24]) that stress the risk of spreading the infection through home visits during the pandemic. However, in our view, these risks are much lower than in institutions, when safety procedures are followed [20]. The expansion of Home Treatment/outreach services may also serve as an advancement of mental health provision in general.

Following the same rationale, we also recommend expanding supported housing services to all cantons, similar to those that have been already established in Zurich [25] and Bern [26]. Care home settings are not better in providing long-term care for people with mental illness than outreach services [27]. In addition, similar to treatment settings, service users show a strong preference to be cared for at home [28]. The pandemic should be a strong cause to reduce the comparatively high number of care home places in Switzerland. Shifting to outreach care will imply that infection control measures such as distance keeping, face masks and regular physical health checks must be upheld.

The shift to outreach care should be supported by an increasing use of telemedicine applications [29]. During the pandemic peak phase, many services have switched from face-to-face contacts to virtual contacts. Although many professionals have been sceptical about whether this could work, it turned out to be possible, was accepted by many service users and helped to minimize the infection risk. Provided that service users who want to have virtual contact have access to the right equipment, are able to handle the devices and can afford the acquisition, this step could save travel time in many cases and could serve to increase caseloads of outreach staff. Telemedicine is, however, not always appropriate for mental health care services as the therapeutic alliance may be negatively affected or the situation at service users' home does not allow a therapeutic contact due to listeners or other interferences. Additionally, it became evident during the pandemic that many staff needed technical and social guidance for working over the Internet by using conference applications. Finally, data protection regulation must be applied to maintain privacy and confidentiality.

The recent pandemic has also underscored and accentuated the problems of the Swiss reimbursement scheme for mental illness. As inpatient treatment admissions were decreasing due to administrative restrictions and avoidance by patients, outpatient and outreach services were insufficiently reimbursed and were not able to compensate for the loss of treatment. It has, thus, become clear that a pandemic-adapted mental health care system needs a strong outpatient part, as has been demonstrated in many regions in recent weeks. Therefore, the current disincentives of outpatient and outreach settings should be overcome.

3. Fostering social inclusion during and after the pandemic: Micro-communities and supported employment

People with mental illness have – on average – smaller social networks than the general population, which is a clear disadvantage in terms of social support and social inclusion. Community mental health services should aim at supporting service users to enlarge and maintain their social networks. However, research has failed to establish evidence for such interventions [30, 31]. This is very unfortunate in times where there is a need to have sufficient social support, but to limit the size of the network in order to serve infection control.

New sociological research during the current pandemic has suggested that micro-communities could be part of the non-pharmacological mitigation strategy for responding to the virus after the peak phase [32]. A micro-community is established by voluntary social contacts with a strictly limited number of people where community members do not change. While there is no evidence yet that

this will work for people supported by community mental health services, we recommend an intervention based on peer support and already existing friendships and acquaintanceships that tries to combine social support and epidemic mitigation – this may also be helpful in non-pandemic times.

Another social inclusion concern is the future of Supported Employment programs during and after the pandemic. Supported Employment programs have been established across Switzerland and have been evaluated very positively [33, 34]. Today, even developed countries will probably have to cope with a massive rise of unemployment in the coming months and years. Initial hopes for a short-term recession where the economy was supposed to bounce back after a deep fall have apparently faded. Most likely we will see a recession that will take at least several months [35, 36], before getting back to economic recovery. The experience from the 2007/2008 recession has shown that people with mental illness have a high risk of being dismissed from their jobs and that Supported Employment programs have suffered tremendously from the economic downturn [37]. As the current economic outlook for Switzerland is even more bleak than at that time and will remain so according to the latest economic outlook from August 2020 [38], we expect severe problems when trying to get people with mental illness back into the general labour market.

To mitigate the effect of the economic downturn on the occupation opportunities of people with mental illness, we propose three measures. Firstly, furloughed employees (dt.: Kurzarbeitende, fr.: personnes avec une réduction de l'horaire de travail) who suffer from mental illness should be eligible to be admitted to Supported Employment programs that aim at job retention. Secondly, to compensate for the structural disadvantage that people with mental illness have in the future labour market, hiring credits and wage subsidies should be considered as incentives for employers [39]. Thirdly, as the future labour market will undoubtedly be much more digitalized than before, specific digital and social skills training should be offered in Supported Employment programs [40].

4. Conclusion

The Covid-19-pandemic has changed the Swiss mental health care services immediately and will assumingly continue to do so in the upcoming months. In cases of probably upcoming lockdowns, we see a considerable risk of losing touch with service users who have a high need for care and treatment due to the recent public health restrictions. To avoid loss of contacts, further deterioration of therapeutic alliance and increasing social exclusion, we propose a re-think of key aspects of the current mental health care system. By having a more diverse spectrum of care and treatment services with an emphasis on outpatient and outreach services, we are convinced that the entire mental health care system will be better prepared, more resilient and more person-centred should the pandemic last for a longer time or should there be future peaks and lockdowns. Finally, we wish to stress that the contemporary situation and the required changes will certainly need a high degree of input of experience and knowledge of service users. This situation may also be an opportunity to include service user expertise into policy and research. The unprecedented changes that the entire country and its health care system has experienced in recent months and will likely see in the future cannot be managed for only by professional judgement.

References

1. BAG. COVID-19 in der Schweiz. 2020. <https://covid-19-schweiz.bagapps.ch/de-2.html>. Access: 19-05-2020
2. Bolsinger J, Jaeger M, Hoff P et al. Challenges and Opportunities in Building and Maintaining a Good Therapeutic Relationship in Acute Psychiatric Settings: A Narrative Review. *Front Psychiatry* 2019; 10: 965. doi:10.3389/fpsyt.2019.00965
3. Richter D, Hoffmann H. Social exclusion of people with severe mental illness in Switzerland: results from the Swiss Health Survey. *Epidemiol Psychiatr Sci* 2019; 28: 427-435. doi:10.1017/S2045796017000786
4. Richter D, Hahn S. Formelles und informelles Aufgabenprofil in der ambulanten psychiatrischen Pflege aus Sicht von Pflegenden: Eine Meta-Synthese. *Pflege* 2009; 22: 129-142. doi:10.1024/1012-5302.22.2.129
5. WHO. Pandemic Influenza Preparedness and Response: A WHO Guidance Document. Geneva. World Health Organization. 2009.
6. Cowling BJ, Ali ST, Ng TWY et al. Impact assessment of non-pharmaceutical interventions against coronavirus disease 2019 and influenza in Hong Kong: an observational study. *Lancet Public Health* 2020. doi:10.1016/S2468-2667(20)30090-6. doi:10.1016/S2468-2667(20)30090-6
7. Kissler SM, Tedijanto C, Goldstein E et al. Projecting the transmission dynamics of SARS-CoV-2 through the postpandemic period. *Science* 2020. doi:10.1126/science.abb5793. doi:10.1126/science.abb5793
8. Brupbacher M. "Wir dürfen auch Positives vermelden" - Interview mit Manuel Battegay. *Tagesanzeiger*; 13.10.2020: 36
9. Chew QH, Wei KC, Vasoo S et al. Narrative synthesis of psychological and coping responses towards emerging infectious disease outbreaks in the general population: practical considerations for the COVID-19 pandemic. *Singapore Med J* 2020. doi:10.11622/smedj.2020046. doi:10.11622/smedj.2020046
10. Koltai J, Stuckler D. Recession hardships, personal control, and the amplification of psychological distress: Differential responses to cumulative stress exposure during the U.S. Great Recession. *SSM Popul Health* 2020; 10: 100521. doi:10.1016/j.ssmph.2019.100521
11. Kawohl W, Nordt C. COVID-19, unemployment, and suicide. *Lancet Psychiatry* 2020; 7: 389-390. doi:10.1016/S2215-0366(20)30141-3
12. Richter D. War der Coronavirus-Lockdown notwendig? Versuch einer wissenschaftlichen Antwort. Bielefeld: Transcript; 2021
13. Clerici M, Durbano F, Spinogatti F et al. Psychiatric Hospitalization Rates in Italy before and during Covid-19: Did They Change? An Analysis of Register Data. *Ir J Psychol Med* 2020. doi:10.1017/ipm.2020.29: 1-23. doi:10.1017/ipm.2020.29
14. [Anonym]. Switzerland COVID-19 Scenario Report. <https://jcblemai.github.io/>. Access: 01-05-20
15. OBSAN. Psychische Gesundheit - Kennzahlen 2017. Neuchâtel. OBSAN. 2019.
16. Dowling M, Beauchesne M, Farrelly F et al. Advanced practice nursing: a concept analysis. *Int J Nurs Pract* 2013; 19: 131-140. doi:10.1111/ijn.12050
17. Nacoti M, Ciocca A, Giupponi A et al. At the Epicenter of the Covid-19 Pandemic and Humanitarian Crises in Italy: Changing Perspectives on Preparation and Mitigation. *Catalyst non-issue content* 2020; 1. doi:doi:10.1056/CAT.20.0080
18. Xiang YT, Zhao YJ, Liu ZH et al. The COVID-19 outbreak and psychiatric hospitals in China: managing challenges through mental health service reform. *Int J Biol Sci* 2020; 16: 1741-1744. doi:10.7150/ijbs.45072
19. Thompson JW, Jr., Mikolajewski AJ, Kissinger P et al. An Epidemiologic Study of COVID-19 Patients in a State Psychiatric Hospital: High Penetrance With Early CDC Guidelines. *Psychiatr Serv* 2020. doi:10.1176/appi.ps.202000270: appips202000270. doi:10.1176/appi.ps.202000270

20. Starace F, Ferrara M. COVID-19 disease Emergency Operational Instructions for Mental Health Departments issued by the Italian Society of Epidemiological Psychiatry. *Epidemiol Psychiatr Sci* 2020. doi:10.1017/S2045796020000372: 1-12.
doi:10.1017/S2045796020000372
21. Wyder L, Fawcett C, Hepp U et al. Wie gelingt Home Treatment in der Praxis? Eine qualitative Studie unter Einbezug von Patienten, Angehörigen und Mitarbeitenden. *Psychiatrische Praxis* 2018; 45: 405-411. doi:10.1055/a-0665-6094
22. Mötteli S, Jäger M, Hepp U et al. Home Treatment for Acute Mental Healthcare: Who Benefits Most? *Community Mental Health Journal* 2020. doi:10.1007/s10597-020-00618-3.
doi:10.1007/s10597-020-00618-3
23. Winness MG, Borg M, Kim HS. Service users' experiences with help and support from crisis resolution teams. A literature review. *J Ment Health* 2010; 19: 75-87.
doi:10.3109/09638230903469178
24. DGPPN. Corona: Orientierungshilfe für die psychiatrische und psychotherapeutische Versorgung. 2020. <https://www.dgppn.de/schwerpunkte/corona.html>. Access: 10.04.2020
25. PUK Zürich. Wohn-Coaching. 2020. <https://www.pukzh.ch/unsere-angebote/medizinische-therapeutische-dienstleistungen/wohn-coaching/>. Access: 05-05-2020
26. UPD Bern. Wohn-Coaching. 2020. <https://www.upd.ch/de/angebot/psychiatrische-rehabilitation/wohn-coaching.php>. Access: 05-05-2020
27. Richter D, Hoffmann H. Independent housing and support for people with severe mental illness: systematic review. *Acta Psychiatr Scand* 2017; 136: 269-279. doi:10.1111/acps.12765
28. Richter D, Hoffmann H. Preference for Independent Housing of Persons with Mental Disorders: Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Adm Policy Ment Health* 2017; 44: 817-823.
doi:10.1007/s10488-017-0791-4
29. Zhou X, Snoswell CL, Harding LE et al. The Role of Telehealth in Reducing the Mental Health Burden from COVID-19. *Telemed J E Health* 2020; 26: 377-379. doi:10.1089/tmj.2020.0068
30. Webber M, Fendt-Newlin M. A review of social participation interventions for people with mental health problems. *Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol* 2017; 52: 369-380.
doi:10.1007/s00127-017-1372-2
31. Ma R, Mann F, Wang J et al. The effectiveness of interventions for reducing subjective and objective social isolation among people with mental health problems: a systematic review. *Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol* 2019. doi:10.1007/s00127-019-01800-z.
doi:10.1007/s00127-019-01800-z
32. Block P, Hoffman M, Raabe I et al. Social network-based distancing strategies to flatten the COVID 19 curve in a post-lockdown world; 2020. doi:arXiv:2004.07052
33. Viering S, Jager M, Bartsch B et al. Supported Employment for the Reintegration of Disability Pensioners with Mental Illnesses: A Randomized Controlled Trial. *Front Public Health* 2015; 3: 237. doi:10.3389/fpubh.2015.00237
34. Hoffmann H, Jackel D, Glauser S et al. Long-term effectiveness of supported employment: 5-year follow-up of a randomized controlled trial. *Am J Psychiatry* 2014; 171: 1183-1190.
doi:10.1176/appi.ajp.2014.13070857
35. Frankel J. How to avoid a W-shaped global coronavirus recession. 2020.
<https://www.theguardian.com/business/2020/may/04/how-to-avoid-a-w-shaped-global-coronavirus-recession>. Access: 04-05-2020
36. Brock J. This is what the coronavirus recession could look like, according to global CEOs. 2020. <https://www.weforum.org/agenda/2020/04/global-ceos-coronavirus-covid19-recession-economics-recovery-crisis/>. Access: 04-05-2020
37. Richter D, Hoffmann H. Effectiveness of supported employment in non-trial routine implementation: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol* 2019; 54: 525-531. doi:10.1007/s00127-018-1577-z
38. ETH KOF. KOF Beschäftigungsindikator: Die Aussichten für den Schweizer Arbeitsmarkt bleiben trüb. 2020. Access: 13-10-2020

39. Cahuc P, Carcillo S, Le Barbanchon T. The effectiveness of hiring credits. *Rev Econ Studies* 2019; 86: 593-626
40. Richter D. Berufliche Rehabilitation von Menschen mit psychischen Erkrankungen in einer digitalisierten Arbeitswelt. *Sozialpsychiatrische Informationen* 2020; 50: 9-14